[Spl/MAT/F-5/E]

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/4962/2014
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.
Date :- - 8 OCT 2014

C.A.NO. 87/2014 IN O.A. NO.58/2014.

Shri. Raosaheb A. Vasagadekar,
C/o. Shri. A.V.Bandiwadekar, Advocate, Mumbai.

....**APPLICANT/S.**

VERSUS

The State of Maha. Through Principal Secretary, Shri. Rajgopal Deora,
Co- operation Dept., Having office at
Mantralaya, Mumbai- 400 032.

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

...**RESPONDENT/S**

The applicant/s abovenamed has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the ***25th*** day *of* ***September, 2014*** *has made the following order:-*

APPEARANCE : Shri. A.V.Bandiwadekar, Advocate for the Applicant.
Shri. A.J.Chougule, P.O. For the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.**
HON'BLE SHRI M.RAMESH KUMAR, MEMBER(A).

DATE : **25.09.2014.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed.

Research Officer, 8/10/14
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.

D:\Sachin\Judical Order - ORDER-2014\Oct-14\07.10.14\C.A. 87.14 IN O.A.58.14.doc

O/C

(1) Heard Shri A.V.Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J.Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

(2) The order passed by the Tribunal is dated 4th February 2014. The applicant claims that it was submitted to the Principal Secretary on 3rd March 2014. The authority who is supposed to take a decision is the Principal Secretary, Department of Co.operation and Textile, Mantralaya, Mumbai.

(3) In the affidavit in reply filed today, we are shown the case was heard on 30.06.2014 and on 2nd July 2013, and that the order is passed on 31st July, 2014.

(4) The order refers to filing of appeal and its by the state against the order of acquittal of the applicant accused.

(5) The delay caused in deciding appeal is marginal. In such a case, it will be difficult to attribute willfulness towards the delay as to compliance of direction passed in the O.A.

(6) Therefore, we do not propose to take any action. Hence Contempt Application is disposed of.

Sd/-
(M.Rameshkumar)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(A.H.Joshi)
Chairman

Psz

E: 25/9/14

AM:

ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

EARANCE:

Smt. : A.V. Bandiwadekar

ocate for the Applicant

/Smt. : A.J. Chougule

O / P.O. for the Respondents

To C.A. disposed of.